

CONNECT WITH US



[linkedin.com/company/capcsd](https://www.linkedin.com/company/capcsd)



[@capcsd_official](https://www.instagram.com/capcsd_official)



[facebook.com/capcsd](https://www.facebook.com/capcsd)

Strengthening Graduate Admissions Through Committee Training

Daneille Watson

CAPCSD Admissions Committee Member

As graduate speech-language pathology programs continue to move toward more holistic admissions practices, the process of reviewing applications has become increasingly complex. Today's admissions decisions are rarely based on GPA alone. Instead, committees are asked to consider academic trends, personal statements, professional experiences, leadership, research, and community engagement. While this broader approach benefits applicants and programs alike, it also places greater responsibility on admissions reviewers.

Even when clear rubrics and guidelines are in place, committee members may interpret application materials differently. Reviewers bring their own academic backgrounds, professional experiences, and expectations into the evaluation process. As a result, two reviewers may assess the same application in very different ways. Without intentional preparation, this variability can lead to inconsistent scoring and prolonged decision-making.

Training admissions committees helps create a shared understanding of program priorities and expectations. When reviewers have opportunities to discuss rubric criteria, review sample applications, and clarify scoring standards, they are better equipped to apply evaluation tools consistently. These calibration activities promote fairness and reduce unintended discrepancies across reviewers.

In addition, training benefits committee members themselves. Clear expectations and shared procedures can reduce uncertainty and streamline the review process. The CSDCAS platform can support these efforts by allowing programs to integrate structured rubrics and track scoring patterns across reviewers ([WebAdMIT-Scoring Applicants](#)). When combined with intentional committee training, these tools enhance transparency and strengthen the integrity of the admissions process.

Ultimately, investing time in admissions committee training is an investment in program quality. By ensuring that reviewers share common standards and goals, programs are better positioned to identify applicants who are academically prepared, professionally motivated, and well-aligned with the mission of the field.

If you need help with the admissions processes for your MA/MS and/or AuD graduate education programs, or you need help with WebAdMIT or CSDCAS, please do not hesitate to reach out to the Admissions Committee and CAPCSD's Director of Centralized Admissions.